



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

Rational Six-Cycles Under Quadratic Iteration

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

GTEM 3rd annual meeting, University of Warwick
September 8, 2009

Quadratic Iteration

Let $f(c, x) = x^2 + c \in \mathbb{Z}[c, x]$ and define

$$f^0(c, x) = x, \quad f^{n+1}(c, x) = f(c, f^n(c, x)) = (f^n(c, x))^2 + c.$$

$(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Q}^N$ is a **rational N -cycle** if there is $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = f(c, x_0), \quad x_2 = f(c, x_1), \quad \dots, \quad x_{N-1} = f(c, x_{N-2}) \\ \text{and} \quad x_0 = f(c, x_{N-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} are **pairwise distinct**.

Question.

For which $N \geq 1$ do there exist **rational N -cycles**?

Conjecture (Morton, Silverman).

Rational N -cycles **do not exist** for N large.

Dynamic Modular Curves

Pairs (c, x) such that the sequence $(f^n(c, x))_{n \geq 0}$ has (not necessarily minimal) **period N** correspond to points on the affine plane curve given by

$$f^N(c, x) - x = 0.$$

Dividing off shorter periods, we obtain

$$Y_1^{\text{dyn}}(N) : \Phi_N^*(c, x) := \prod_{d|N} (f^d(c, x) - x)^{\mu(N/d)} = 0.$$

It can be shown that $Y_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$ is **smooth** and **geometrically irreducible**; we denote by $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$ its smooth projective model. The points in $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N) \setminus Y_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$ are called **cusps**; all of them are **rational**.

Question, new version.

For which N are there **non-cuspidal rational points** on $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$?

Small N

It is easy to see that $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(1)$ and $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(2)$ are **isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1** .
This is still **true** (but less obvious) for $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(3)$.
So there are **lots** of rational fixed points, 2- and 3-cycles.

The curve $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(4)$ has **genus 2**;
it turns out that it is **isomorphic to $X_1(16)$** ,
and all its rational points are **cusps**.
So there are **no** rational 4-cycles (P. Morton 1998).

The genus of $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$ **grows very fast** ($N = 5 \rightarrow 14$, $N = 6 \rightarrow 34$, ...);
it is not feasible to work with these curves directly when $N \geq 5$.

The Quotient Curve

Observe that $(c, x) \mapsto (c, x^2 + c)$ induces an action of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ on $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)$; we denote by $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(N)$ the quotient curve.

If we **can find** the rational points on $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(N)$,
we **can determine** $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(N)(\mathbb{Q})$
and hence **decide** if there are rational N -cycles.

The curve $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(5)$ has **genus 2**.
Its Jacobian has Mordell-Weil **rank 1**,
and **Chabauty's method** can be used to find $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(5)(\mathbb{Q})$.
The result implies that there are **no** rational 5-cycles
(Flynn-Poonen-Schaefer 1997).

The Case $N = 6$

The curve $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(6)$ is non-hyperelliptic of **genus 4**.

An affine equation in terms of c and the **trace**

$$t = x + f(c, x) + f^2(c, x) + \cdots + f^5(c, x)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & 256(t^3 + t^2 - t - 1)c^3 + 16(9t^5 + 7t^4 + 10t^3 + 30t^2 - 19t - 37)c^2 \\ & + 8(3t^7 + t^6 + 2t^5 + 2t^4 - 17t^3 + 69t^2 + 52t - 48)c \\ & + t^9 - t^8 + 2t^7 + 14t^6 + 49t^5 + 175t^4 + 140t^3 + 196t^2 + 448t = 0 \end{aligned}$$

It has a **smooth** model in $\mathbb{P}_u^1 \times \mathbb{P}_w^1$ given by

$$G(u, w) = w^2(w+1)u^3 - (5w^2 + w + 1)u^2 - w(w^2 - 2w - 7)u + (w+1)(w-3) = 0$$

where

$$c = \frac{(-u^3 - 2u^2 + 5u - 10)uw - u^4 + 3u^3 + 8u^2 - 10u + 12}{4u^2(uw + u - 3)}, \quad t = \frac{2}{u} - 1.$$

The Points

We easily find the following **ten rational points** on $C = X_0^{\text{dyn}}(6)$.

	u	w	t	c
P_0	0	∞	∞	∞
P_1	0	-1	∞	∞
P_2	0	3	∞	∞
P_3	∞	0	-1	∞
P_4	1	2	1	∞

	u	w	t	c
P_5	2	1	0	0
P_6	1	∞	1	-2
P_7	∞	-1	-1	-2
P_8	-1	∞	-3	-4
P_9	$-\frac{4}{5}$	-1	$-\frac{7}{2}$	$-\frac{71}{48}$

P_0, \dots, P_4 are the images of the **cusps** on $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(6)$.

P_5, \dots, P_9 **do not lift** to rational points on $X_1^{\text{dyn}}(6)$.

P_9 is the image of six points defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{33})$;

the fibers above the other points form single Galois orbits.

Goal. Show that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_9\}$!

A Subgroup of the Mordell-Weil Group

Let J be the **Jacobian** of C ,
and we denote by Γ the subgroup of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ generated by the $[P_i - P_j]$.

Theorem.

- $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is **torsion-free**.
- $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$, and Γ is generated by divisors supported in $\{P_0, P_1, P_2, P_4\}$.

The first assertion follows from $\gcd(\#J(\mathbb{F}_7), \#J(\mathbb{F}_{13})) = 1$.

For the second assertion, we consider the homomorphism

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=0}^9 \mathbb{Z}P_j \right)^0 \longrightarrow J(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in \{3,5,7,11,13\}} J(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

We check that the small elements of its kernel give principal divisors;
the image shows that the rank is at least 3.

Points Mapping to G

We take P_1 as base point for the embedding $\iota : C \rightarrow J$.

The **saturation** of the group $\Gamma \subset J(\mathbb{Q})$ is

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \{D \in J(\mathbb{Q}) : nD \in \Gamma \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}.$$

Theorem.

$$\{P \in C(\mathbb{Q}) : \iota(P) \in \bar{\Gamma}\} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_9\}$$

For the proof, we use the **Chabauty-Coleman** method.

Chabauty (1)

Let p be a prime number.

There is a pairing

$$\Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times J(\mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p, \quad (\omega, D) \longmapsto \langle \omega, D \rangle = \int_0^D \omega$$

which induces a perfect pairing of \mathbb{Q}_p -vector spaces

$$\Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \left(J_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Since Γ has $\text{rank} < 4 = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$,

there is $0 \neq \omega \in \Omega_J^1(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\langle \omega, \bar{\Gamma} \rangle = 0$.

We take $p = 5$ and find for the reduction mod 5 of ω that

$$\bar{\omega} = w \frac{du}{\frac{\partial}{\partial w} G(u, w)} \in \Omega_C^1(\mathbb{F}_5).$$

Chabauty (2)

Theorem.

Let $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_5)$ such that $v_P(\bar{\omega}) \leq 2$.

Then $\#\{P' \in C(\mathbb{Q}) : \bar{P}' = P, \iota(P') \in \bar{\Gamma}\} \leq v_P(\bar{\omega}) + 1$.

Here, $\{P_0, \dots, P_9\}$ **surjects** onto $C(\mathbb{F}_5)$, and

- $v_{\bar{P}_j}(\bar{\omega}) = 0$ for $j \neq 3, 7, 9$;
- $v_{\bar{P}_7}(\bar{\omega}) = 1$ and $\bar{P}_7 = \bar{P}_9$.

So a point $P \in C(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{P_0, \dots, P_9\}$ with $\iota(P) \in \bar{\Gamma}$ must satisfy $\bar{P} = \bar{P}_3$.

Computation $\Rightarrow P \mapsto \langle \omega, \iota(P) \rangle$ has **only one zero** on this residue class.

This finishes the proof of the theorem.

A Sufficient Condition

Recall the **Theorem** we proved:

$$\{P \in C(\mathbb{Q}) : \iota(P) \in \bar{\Gamma}\} = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_9\}$$

Therefore, $\bar{\Gamma} = J(\mathbb{Q})$ implies that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \{P_0, \dots, P_9\}$
and then that there are **no rational 6-cycles**.

For this it is sufficient (and necessary) that **rank $J(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 3$** .

So we need an upper bound for the Mordell-Weil rank.

The usual approach (2-descent) appears to be **infeasible**.

We use the **BSD rank conjecture** instead.

The L-Series (1)

We want to compute $L'''(J, 1)$ (and verify it is nonzero).

We assume that $L(J, s)$ extends to an entire function and satisfies the usual functional equation.

We need to know the conductor and the bad Euler factors.

The only primes of bad reduction for C are 2 and 8 029 187.

At 8 029 187, our model is regular and has only a node.

At 2, we compute a regular model. We obtain:

- The conductor is $2^2 \cdot 8\,029\,187$;
- the Euler factor at 2 is $(1 + T)^2(1 + T + 2T^2)^2$.

The L-Series (2)

Since the conductor is **not too large**,
we can compute **enough coefficients** of $L(J, s)$
to find $L'''(J, 1)$ to reasonable precision.

We check numerically that the functional equation (with **sign -1**) is OK.

We then use Tim Dokchitser's package to evaluate

$$L'''(J, 1) \approx 0.83601 \dots \neq 0.$$

We see that the BSD rank conjecture for J implies that $\bar{\Gamma} = J(\mathbb{Q})$.

Conclusion

Theorem.

If the L -series of J extends to an entire function and satisfies the usual functional equation, and if the BSD rank conjecture holds for J , then there are no rational 6-cycles.

Remarks

The approach used here for finding $C(\mathbb{Q})$ is applicable when

- we can find **generators** of a **finite index subgroup** of $J(\mathbb{Q})$;
- **$\text{rank } J(\mathbb{Q}) < g(C)$** , the genus of C ;
- the conductor is **not too large**.

Given the first two conditions, we can use **Chabauty's method**, perhaps combined with a **Mordell-Weil sieve**, to **find the rational points** on the curve.

The third condition allows us to **verify** the first condition, assuming the **BSD rank conjecture** for J .

What About $N = 7$?

If we attempt to extend our approach to rational **7-cycles**, we run into a number of **difficulties** —

- $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(7)$ has **genus 16**;
- $X_0^{\text{dyn}}(7)$ has **bad reduction** at

$$p = 84562\ 62122\ 13597\ 75358\ 18884\ 16725\ 49561$$

(and perhaps at 2).

The first implies that computations will be rather involved, the second implies that the conductor is too large.

Reference

M. Stoll, *Rational 6-cycles under iteration of quadratic maps*,
LMS J. Comput. Math. **11** (2008), 367–380.