



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

Formalizing Mordell ?

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

The Mordell conjecture 2 · 3 · 17 years later

MIT

July 12, 2024

Prologue: a Challenge

Challenge:

Given $C > 0$, find (or prove the existence of)
a nice curve X/\mathbb{Q} of genus $g \geq 2$ such that $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \geq C \cdot g!$

- $C = 321$ ($g = 2$; St., Elkies)
- $C = 8$ ($g \rightarrow \infty$, hyperelliptic; Mestre(?))
- $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \leq (8r + 33)g$ (hyperelliptic, $r = \text{rk } J(\mathbb{Q}) \leq g - 3$; St.)
- Unlikely intersection heuristic: $\#X(\mathbb{Q}) \ll g + r$

Challenge':

Beat $C = 8$ for $g \rightarrow \infty$!

Proof Assistants

A **proof assistant** or **interactive theorem prover** (ITP) is a piece of computer software that

- ① allows to **construct** a proof in a formal language
- ② and **checks** it for **correctness**.

There are various such systems around (list not exhaustive):

- Isabelle (1986)
- Coq/Rocq (1989)
- Agda (1999; 2007: Agda 2)
- **Lean** (2013; 2021: **Lean 4**)

Lean has a large cohesive and actively developed library **Mathlib** that contains definitions, statements and proofs comprising most undergraduate and quite some higher-level mathematics.

What are they good for?

There are various (potential) benefits.

- Establish **correctness** of **difficult proofs**
 - ★ Four Color Theorem (Gonthier⁺, 2005, Coq)
 - ★ Kepler Conjecture (Hales⁺, 2014, Isabelle/HOL Light)
 - ★ A result on liquid vector spaces (Commelin⁺, 2022, Lean)
- Establish a **unified database** of mathematical definitions and results
- Enable **large-scale collaboration** on mathematical projects without the need of establishing trust beforehand or checking each other's work
 - ★ Polynomial Freiman-Ruzsa Conjecture over \mathbb{F}_2 (Tao⁺, 2023, Lean)
 - ★ Reduce FLT to 1980s mathematics (Buzzard⁺, 2024–, Lean)
 - ★ **BB(5) = 47 176 870** (July 2024, 40 000 lines in Coq)
- **Avoid mistakes** in one's research

Motivation

Corollary 9.10. *Suppose that C/k is a smooth projective curve of genus 2 given by an integral Weierstrass model \mathcal{C} such that there are three nodes in the special fiber of \mathcal{C} . We say that \mathcal{C} is split if the two components A and E of the special fiber of \mathcal{C}^{\min} are defined over \mathfrak{k} ; otherwise \mathcal{C} is nonsplit. Let $v(\Delta) = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$ as above and set $M = m_1m_2 + m_1m_3 + m_2m_3$.*

⋮

(c) *If two of the nodes lie in a quadratic extension of \mathfrak{k} and are conjugate over \mathfrak{k} and one is \mathfrak{k} -rational, then*

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{m_1}{M} \max \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m_1^2}{2} \right\rfloor + m_1m_3, \left\lfloor \frac{m_3^2}{2} \right\rfloor + m_1 \left\lfloor \frac{m_3}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} & \text{if } \mathcal{C} \text{ is split,} \\ \frac{m_1}{2} & \text{if } \mathcal{C} \text{ is nonsplit and } m_1 \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where m_3 corresponds to the rational node (and $m_1 = m_2$).

Motivation

Proof. The proof of (a) follows easily from [Proposition 9.4](#).

For the other cases, note that in the nonsplit case some power of Frobenius acts as negation on the component group $\Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{k}})$, so the only elements of $\Phi(\mathfrak{k})$ are elements of order 2 in $\Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{k}})$, which correspond to $[B_{m_1/2} - C_{m_2/2}]$ if m_1 and m_2 are even (where μ takes the value $\frac{1}{4}(m_1 + m_2)$), and similarly with the obvious cyclic permutations.

In the situation of (c), we must have $m_1 = m_2$. If $P = [(P_1) - (P_2)] \in J(k)$ and $P_1 \in C(\bar{k})$ maps to one of the conjugate nodes, then P_2 must map to the other, so all $P \in J(k)$ must map to a component of the form $[B_i - C_j]$ or $[D_i - D_j]$. Now the result in the split case follows from a case distinction depending on whether $m_1 \leq m_3$ or not. In the nonsplit case, the only element of order 2 that is defined over \mathfrak{k} is $[B_{m_1/2} - C_{m_1/2}]$ if it exists.

In the situation of (d), the group $\Phi(\mathfrak{k})$ is of order 3 (generated by $[E - A]$) in the split case and trivial in the nonsplit case. \square

Motivation

Proof. The proof of (a) follows easily from [Proposition 9.4](#).

For the other cases, note that in the nonsplit case **some power of Frobenius acts as negation on the component group $\Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{k}})$** , so the only elements of $\Phi(\mathfrak{k})$ are elements of order 2 in $\Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{k}})$, which correspond to $[B_{m_1/2} - C_{m_2/2}]$ if m_1 and m_2 are even (where μ takes the value $\frac{1}{4}(m_1 + m_2)$), and similarly with the obvious cyclic permutations.

In the situation of (c), we must have $m_1 = m_2$. If $P = [(P_1) - (P_2)] \in J(k)$ and $P_1 \in C(\bar{k})$ maps to one of the conjugate nodes, then P_2 must map to the other, so all $P \in J(k)$ must map to a component of the form $[B_i - C_j]$ or $[D_i - D_j]$. Now the result in the split case follows from a case distinction depending on whether $m_1 \leq m_3$ or not. In the nonsplit case, the only element of order 2 that is defined over \mathfrak{k} is $[B_{m_1/2} - C_{m_1/2}]$ if it exists.

In the situation of (d), the group $\Phi(\mathfrak{k})$ is of order 3 (generated by $[E - A]$) in the split case and trivial in the nonsplit case. \square

Motivation

There are actually **two mistakes** in the statement and proof (but one is not visible here).

It would be nice to be able to **avoid** such mistakes!

Goal: Be able to **formalize my papers!**

Problem: Lean+Mathlib is **very far away** from this.

(**But:** See <https://github.com/MichaelStollBayreuth/Weights>)

New Goal: Teach more **arithmetic geometry** to Lean!

For example: Get a proof of **Mordell's Conjecture** into Mathlib!

Quick Live Demo

```
import Mathlib
```

```
open Nat
```

```
theorem infinitely_many_primes :  $\forall n : \mathbb{N}, \exists p > n, p.\text{Prime}$  := by
  intro n
  let N := n ! + 1
  let p := N.minFac -- smallest prime divisor of `N = n! + 1`
  use p -- this will be the witness for the existential statement
  have hp : p.Prime := by -- first show that `p` is prime
    | apply minFac_prime -- `N.minFac` is prime if `N ≠ 1`
    | have : n ! ≠ 0 := factorial_ne_zero n
    | omega -- tactic for solving linear arithmetic on `N` and `Z`
  constructor -- split the conjunction
  · -- prove `p > n`
    | by_contra! h -- assume that `p ≤ n`
    | have hdvd : p | n ! := (Prime.dvd_factorial hp).mpr h
    | have hdvd' : p | N := minFac_dvd N
    | have : p | 1 := (Nat.dvd_add_iff_right hdvd).mpr hdvd'
    | exact hp.not_dvd_one this -- contradiction to `¬ p | 1`
  · exact hp -- use proof of `p.Prime`
```

Disclaimer

I have only **very recently** started to think about this.

So everything that follows is **very preliminary**
and needs some considerable fleshing-out.

Stating Mordell's Conjecture

```
theorem Mordell_Faltings {K} [Field K] [NumberField K]
```

```
(X : NiceCurve K) (h : genus X ≥ 2) :
```

```
Finite (Points X K) := by
```

sorry

- Number fields are in Mathlib
- (Nice) curves not yet, but will be soon
(two versions: schemes / function fields)
- The genus will need a bit more work
- Once curves are there, points are easy
($\text{Mor}_{\text{Spec } K}(\text{Spec } K, X)$ / places with residue field = K)

Which Proof?

I will look at the proof via **heights** (Vojta, Bombieri):

- personal taste (I find it more accessible)
- it leads to further possibilities:
 - ★ **bounds** on $\#X(K)$
 - ★ **Mordell-Lang**
 - ★ **uniformity results**
- necessary material desirable for other projects

But of course, we also want to have the other results from Faltings's original paper eventually!

Why Lean+Mathlib?

We need material from various areas of mathematics.

Since we want to combine everything, we need it to be

- formalized in **the same system**
- in a **compatible way**.

Mathlib provides a **unified** library of definitions and results, which is carefully designed so that its various parts can talk to each other.

Mathlib currently contains more than **80 000 definitions** and more than **150 000 lemmas** and **theorems**.

Reduction to Vojta's Inequality $\dagger \varepsilon$

Lemma.

Let M be a **finitely generated** abelian group with a **quadratic form** $h: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\#\{x \in M : h(x) \leq B\} < \infty$ for all $B \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $S \subset M$ be a subset, $C > 0$ and $\gamma < 1$ such that for all $x, y \in S$ with $h(x) \geq C$ and $h(y) \geq Ch(x)$, we have

$$(\star) \quad h(x+y) - h(x-y) \leq 4\gamma \sqrt{h(x)h(y)}.$$

Then S is finite.

Think $S = X(K)$, $M = J(K)$, $h = \hat{h}$.

This should be easy to formalize (and is partly done).

Some Requirements

- M **finitely generated**: Mordell-Weil Theorem
 - ★ weak M-W: $M/2M$ **finite**
 - **Selmer groups**
 - **Galois cohomology, Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich**
 - finiteness statements (**class group, units f.g.**)
 - ★ weak M-W \Rightarrow M-W: **heights**
- canonical **height function** satisfying Northcott
 - ★ **heights** again

Before we can do these, we need

- **abelian varieties**
- **Jacobian varieties** ($\rightsquigarrow M$)
 - ★ **Abel-Jacobi map** ($\rightsquigarrow S \hookrightarrow M$)

The Hard Part: Vojta's Inequality

About 24 pages (Chapter 11) of [Bombieri-Gubler],
using a bunch of **serious algebraic geometry**, e.g.,

- **Riemann-Roch** on X and $X \times X$
- **Intersection theory** on $X \times X$
- The relation between (very ample) **divisors** and **projective embeddings**,
description of global sections
- **Sheaf cohomology** on (products of) projective spaces

plus **diophantine approximation**:

- **Siegel's Lemma** (over K)
- **Roth's Lemma**

Lower-Hanging Fruit?

One idea:

First do **odd degree hyperelliptic curves** over \mathbb{Q}

- Can do many things explicitly
- Theta divisor is symmetric
- Hyperelliptic involution gives another divisor on $X \times X$

Another idea:

Formalize **Chabauty-Coleman**

- Can bypass Mordell-Weil
- Can perhaps replace J by Pic^0
- **But:** need to formalize p -adic integration

Outlook

- Algebraic geometry in Mathlib is being developed
- Diophantine approximation (\rightsquigarrow Roth's Theorem) as well
- Need to develop the theory of heights in Mathlib
- Based on the above, need to formalize the proof of Vojta's inequality

Optimistic time frame: A few years

Maybe better automation and/or AI methods will help speed up things

Thank You!