



UNIVERSITÄT
BAYREUTH

Torsion Points on Elliptic Curves over Quartic Number Fields

Michael Stoll
Universität Bayreuth

ANTS IX
INRIA, Nancy
July 22, 2010

Torsion Points on Elliptic Curves

Let K be a number field and E/K an elliptic curve.

It is well-known that the torsion subgroup $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ of $E(K)$ is finite.

This prompts the following

Questions.

1. Fixing K , is there a universal bound for $\#E(K)_{\text{tors}}$?
2. Is there even such a universal bound if we only fix the degree of K ?
3. Can we explicitly determine the possible groups $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$ for given degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$?

Some Answers (1)

The third question was famously answered by Mazur for the case $d = 1$:

Theorem (Mazur 1978).

The following groups occur as $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ for elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} :

- $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 9, 10, 12\}$
- $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ for $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Each of these groups occurs for infinitely many distinct j -invariants.

Some Answers (2)

The second question was given a positive answer by Merel (after previous results by Kamienny-Mazur ($d \leq 8$) and Abramovich ($d \leq 14$)):

Theorem (Merel 1996).

Fix a positive integer d .

Then the groups $E(K)_{\text{tors}}$, where K is a number field of degree $\leq d$ and E/K is an elliptic curve, belong to **finitely many** isomorphism classes.

The **possible groups** are known for $d = 2$ (Kenku, Momose, Kamienny, Mazur).

For $d = 3$ and $d = 4$, it is known which groups occur **infinitely often** (Jeon, Kim, Schweizer 2004; Jeon, Kim, Park 2006).

The Main Step

The **key step** in proving a universal bound is to bound the set

$$S(d) = \{p \text{ prime} \mid \exists K, E/K, P \in E(K) : [K : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d, \text{ord}(P) = p\}$$

of possible prime orders of K -rational points on elliptic curves.

By results of Frey and Faltings,

finiteness of $S(d)$ implies a universal bound for fields of degree $\leq d$.

- $S(1) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$
- $S(2) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$
- $S(3) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13\}$ (Parent 2000, 2003)
- $S(4) = ?$

The Problem

We would like to determine $S(4)$.

From the result of Jeon, Kim and Park mentioned earlier, we know that

$$S(4) \supset \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}.$$

(These are the prime orders that occur for infinitely many curves.)

The situation for $d \leq 3$ suggests that we should have equality.

So we need good upper bounds.

Upper Bounds

Merel gave the first explicit upper bound:

$$\max S(d) \leq d^{3d^2}$$

This is not really helpful when $d = 4$.

Fortunately, there is a better bound due to Oesterlé:

$$\max S(d) \leq (3^{d/2} + 1)^2$$

For $d = 4$, this says that $\max S(4) \leq 97$.

Kamienny and Stein

Sheldon **Kamienny** and William **Stein** developed a **computational test** that can (with some luck) show that $p \notin S(d)$ for a given prime p .

Using this test, they were able to show that

$$S(4) \subset \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, \mathbf{19, 23, 29, 31}\}.$$

William reported on this a few months ago at the “Pacific Northwest Number Theory Conference”.

Realizing that this is really a question about **rational points** on symmetric powers of certain modular curves, I offered my help in dealing with the remaining four primes.

The Theorem

Our joint efforts were successful, and we now have the following **Theorem** (Kamienny, Stein, Stoll 2010).

$$S(4) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}.$$

In the remainder of this talk,
I will sketch how 19, 23, 29 and 31 can be excluded.

Rational Points on Symmetric Powers

Let $X_1(p)$ denote the usual modular curve that parameterizes elliptic curves together with a point of order p .

Let $X_1(p)^{(d)}$ denote its d th symmetric power (the points of $X_1(p)^{(d)}$ are effective divisors of degree d on $X_1(p)$).

$X_1(p)$ has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ rational cusps. Let P_0 be one of them.

If K is a number field of degree $d' \leq d$, E/K is an elliptic curve and $P \in E(K)$ has order p , then we obtain a point $Q \in X_1(p)(K)$.

Adding the d' conjugates of Q and $d - d'$ times P_0 , we obtain a rational effective divisor of degree d on $X_1(p)$, or equivalently, a rational point on $X_1(p)^{(d)}$.

A Lemma

We can deduce the following.

Lemma.

Let p be a prime number, and let C be the set of rational cusps on $X_1(p)$.
If $d < \frac{p-1}{2}$, then

$$p \notin S(d) \iff X_1(p)^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) = C^{(d)}.$$

(The remaining cusps are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)^+$ of degree $\frac{p-1}{2}$.)

A Proposition

Proposition.

Let X/\mathbb{Q} be a curve and use $P_0 \in X(\mathbb{Q})$ to embed X into its Jacobian J .

Let ℓ be a prime of good reduction, and let d be a positive integer.

Assume that

1. $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is **finite**.
2. If $\ell = 2$, then $J(\mathbb{Q})[2]$ **injects** into $J(\mathbb{F}_2)$.
3. There is **no morphism** $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $\leq d$.
4. The reduction map $X(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is **surjective**.
5. The images of $X^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ and $J(\mathbb{Q})$ in $J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ **meet only** in points coming from $X(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^{(d)}$.

Then $X^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) = X(\mathbb{Q})^{(d)}$:

Every point of degree $\leq d$ on X is already rational.

Proof

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X(\mathbb{Q})^{(d)} & \hookrightarrow & X^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{3}} & J(\mathbb{Q}) \\
 \downarrow \mathbf{4} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{1 \& 2} \\
 X(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^{(d)} & \longrightarrow & X^{(d)}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) & \longrightarrow & J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)
 \end{array}$$

Let $P \in X^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q})$.

By **Assumption 5**, there is $Q \in X(\mathbb{F}_\ell)^{(d)}$ with the **same image** in $J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

So (by **Assumption 4**) there is $P' \in X(\mathbb{Q})^{(d)}$ with the same image in $J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$.

Since $X^{(d)}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ is **injective** (**Assumptions 1–3**),

it follows that $P = P'$.

Application

We apply the Proposition to $X = X_1(p)$ with $p \in \{19, 23, 29, 31\}$ and $d = 4$; we write $J_1(p)$ for the Jacobian of $X_1(p)$.

Note that by Mazur, for $p \geq 11$ we have $X_1(p)(\mathbb{Q}) = \{\text{rational cusps}\}$.

By work of Conrad, Edixhoven and Stein, it is known that $J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ is **finite** for $p \leq 31$ (and a few larger p).

By Jeon, Kim and Park, **Assumption 3** is satisfied for $p \geq 19$.

Assumption 4 holds whenever $(\sqrt{\ell} + 1)^2 < p$.

The remaining **Assumptions 2** and **5** need to be checked in each case.

19 and 23

For $p = 19$ and $p = 23$, it is known that $\#J_1(p)(\mathbb{Q})$ is **odd**.
So **Assumption 2** is satisfied when we take $\ell = 2$.

To verify **Assumption 5**, it suffices to show that

$$X_1(p)^{(4)}(\mathbb{F}_2) = X_1(p)(\mathbb{F}_2)^{(4)}.$$

This means that there are **no** elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{2^e} , $e \leq 4$,
with a point of order p .

The Hasse-Weil bound forces $e = 4$ and $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^4}) = p$.

However, by results of Waterhouse (see Mestre's talk),
such curves **do not exist**.

We conclude that

$$19 \notin S(4) \quad \text{and} \quad 23 \notin S(4).$$

31

We want to take $\ell = 2$ again.

In this case, $\#J_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ is **even**, but it is known that $J_1(31)(\mathbb{Q})$ is **generated by** the images of **the rational cusps**.

This allows us to check by an explicit computation that **Assumption 2** is satisfied.

Assumption 5 is trivially satisfied by the Hasse-Weil bound (note that $31 > (\sqrt{16} + 1)^2$).

We conclude that

$$31 \notin S(4).$$

29

This is the **hardest case**:

It is **not known** (yet) what $J_1(29)(\mathbb{Q})$ is;

there are only upper and lower bounds (the ambiguity is in the 2-torsion).

We **cannot** use $\ell = 2$, because we cannot check Assumption 2.

So we use a **larger prime** ℓ and the **upper bound** for $J_1(29)(\mathbb{Q})$ and hope that we can verify that **Assumption 5** holds.

After a lengthy computation, we are successful with $\ell = 11$.

We conclude that

$$29 \notin S(4).$$